Determining youths' computational thinking skills using confirmatory factor analysis

Mira Qerul Barriah Muhamad¹, Indrajit Ajit Doddanavar², Shanjida Chowdhury³

¹Faculty of Business and Management, Universiti Teknologi MARA Shah Alam Campus, Shah Alam, Malaysia ²Jain College of MCA & MBA, Rani Channamma University, Karnataka, India ³Assistant Professor, Department of Business Administration, Southeast Business School, Southeast University, Dhaka, Bangladesh

Article Info

Article history:

Received Sep 2, 2023 Revised Nov 19, 2023 Accepted Dec 26, 2023

Keywords:

Computational thinking skills Confirmatory factor analysis Industry 4.0 Skills gap Youth

ABSTRACT

As technological advancements shape the workforce, computational thinking skills (CTS) are becoming increasingly crucial. The objective of the study is to explore the potential mediating role of professional development and career planning in the association between robotic coding and software and computational thinking. The study selected 308 youths using simple random sampling and the collected data was subjected to structural equation modelling (SEM) and confirmatory factor analysis (CFA) using SmartPLS. Additionally, demographic data were analyzed using statistical package for social sciences (SPSS). The results concluded that the instrument adopted fulfilled the requirements and was valid for measuring CTS. This indicates that the instrument effectively assessed the participants' proficiency in CTS. The findings of this study have implications for addressing the skills gaps among youths. The outcomes of this research can aid in designing educational interventions and policies that focus on developing computational thinking skills among new graduates. By fostering these skills, youths can better adapt to the demands of the rapidly evolving technological landscape and contribute effectively to the industries and job market influenced by industry 4.0. Further research on longitudinal studies may be beneficial to assess the long-term impact of CTS development initiatives on reducing skills gaps and ensuring youths are equipped for future workforce requirements.

This is an open access article under the <u>CC BY-SA</u> license.



Corresponding Author:

Indrajit Ajit Doddanavar Jain College of MCA & MBA, Rani Channamma University Karnataka 591156, India Email: doddanavar.ia@gmail.com

1. INTRODUCTION

Computational thinking has emerged as a progressive field of study in recent years. Its significance is acknowledged by educational reforms worldwide, as it plays a crucial role in preparing individuals for the demands of the 21st-century economy. Computational thinking skills (CTS) are important in making advancements in science, technology, engineering, and mathematics (STEM) fields and are highly demand by industries undergoing the transformative changes of industry 4.0 [1]. Developing CTS can enhance employability by providing individuals with valuable skills for technology-driven industries. Lacking these skills may contribute to higher unemployment rates due to automation. According to previous study [2], it is projected that highly demanded positions in the country by 2025 will include data analysts, software engineers, and application developers.

These roles are expected to be in high demand due to the increasing reliance on technology and data-driven decision-making in various industries. Several studies [3]–[7] on CTS were not explicitly focused on the research subjects of robotics coding and software with professional career planning. As a result, a new scale has been developed to serve the purpose [8]. The scale is designed with an interdisciplinary approach in mind, allowing its application across various fields and subjects. This study determines youths' CTS using the instrument adapted from Ertugrul-Akyol [8] in Malaysia. The research aims to identify professional development and career planning that is likely expected to mediate the relationship between robotic coding and software and computational thinking. Exploring the influence of computational thinking on youth can provide valuable insights into its long-term effects on their educational and career pathways. It can help identify how CTS contribute to their academic success, career readiness and developing skills relevant to the digital age of industry 4.0.

The rapid evolution of technologies in industry 4.0 presents a significant challenge for organizations as they strive to acquire the high value skills to adapt to the changing job functions brought by technological disruptions [9]. A study [10] cited various reasons for the gap in graduate skills, including graduates' preparedness to enter the workforce, a lack of job creation and a skills gap. The rapid advancement of technologies in Malaysia has created a demand for professionals with expertise in areas like AI, IoT, robotics and cybersecurity. However, a shortage of qualified workers in these fields results in a skills gap. The limited availability of skilled professionals in these areas poses challenges to Malaysia's ability to exploit the opportunities presented by industry 4.0 and the ongoing digital transformation [11]. Therefore, in order to harness the potential of youths as a dynamic asset for development, it is essential to formulate a youth strategy aiming to capitalize on the capabilities and energy of young people to drive progress and growth [12]. While many previous researchers have contributed significant work to a growing knowledge base on teaching and learning computational thinking, studies do not often focus from the youth's perspective. Previous researchers prioritized the perspectives of educators, curriculum designers and policymakers rather than comprehensively examined the influence of computational thinking on youths. Thus, there is limited research that has comprehensively examined the influence of computational thinking and how to nurture it in today's youth [13]. Exploring this issue may help shed light on how to promote awareness on the importance of CTS among youth as preparation for their future job hunting and successful career.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1. Computational thinking

The field of computational thinking has been a subject of active exploration and research in recent years. Scholars have dedicated their efforts to understanding and advancing this field, leading to significant advancements and progress. This area of study has become a focal point for educational reforms worldwide, as many countries recognize the importance of computer technology in preparing their citizens for the demands of the 21st-century economy [14], [15]. Computational thinking has long been recognized as a valuable skill set that contributes to breakthroughs in STEM fields. By applying principles and concepts from computer science, experts have been able to make significant advancements in their respective domains.

As a result, students who possess knowledge of computational thinking and understand its underlying concepts are more likely to pursue further education in STEM-related disciplines and consider careers in STEM fields upon graduation. This is particularly relevant in the context of the labor needs in the era of industry 4.0, where skills related to computational thinking are in high demand. The term "computational thinking" was initially defined by Wing [16] as the ability to solve problems, build systems, and understand human behavior using principles derived from computer science. Regardless, a recent study has expanded upon this definition. They describe computational thinking as a set of thinking skills that can be effectively taught, implemented and utilized in real-world scenarios and large-scale problem-solving processes [17].

2.2. Robotic coding and software

The expansion of educational robotics into the curriculum beyond traditional STEM fields aligns with the broader recognition of the importance of computational thinking in the 21st century. This expansion recognizes the value of robotics as a tool to foster CTS among students [18]. This has led to a growing exploration of robotics as a prospective educational environment for acquiring CTS. A research on a robotics curriculum found that the activities and curriculum had a positive impact on students' CTS, particularly in the context of robotics coding [19]. The hands-on nature of robotics activities and the application of coding principles enable students to develop problem-solving abilities and algorithmic reasoning. This suggests that the integration of robotics activities and coding exercises can effectively enhance students' CTS. Coding robotics can significantly increase participants' CTS [20], [21]. Robotic coding and software development skills are increasingly valued in the job market, particularly as automation and technology-driven industries

grow. Proficiency in these areas can enhance one's employability by providing the skills needed to work in robotics, artificial intelligence, software development and data analysis. Therefore, by developing CTS since they were in school, youths may enhance their job prospects and reduce the risk of unemployment. Industries that require technological expertise often seek individuals with strong CTS, making these individuals more competitive in the job market [22]. Thus, the first hypothesis of the study: Robotic coding and software have a significant positive relationship with computational thinking skills (H1).

2.3. Professional development and career planning

Professional development is defined as the continuous process of acquiring new knowledge, skills, and competencies relevant to one's chosen profession. It provides individuals with opportunities to expand their understanding and expertise in specific areas, including computational thinking. Career planning refers to the proactive process individuals engage in to prepare for their desired occupations and positions, especially when they are not currently in the workforce or have not yet attained their desired positions [23]. Career planning enables youth to identify how CTS can enhance career opportunities and contribute to professional growth. Moreover, professional development and career planning provide individuals with the necessary resources, guidance, and support to develop and strengthen their CTS. They offer access to relevant training programs, mentorship, networking opportunities and industry insights, all of which contribute to acquiring and applying the skills. By integrating professional development and career planning into their journey, young individuals can enhance their CTS effectively and consequently leverage them in their professional pursuits. Thus, the hypothesis of the study: Professional development and career planning are significantly related to computational thinking skills (H2) and robotic coding and software positively correlate with computational thinking skills through professional development and career planning (H3). Figure 1 illustrates the theoretical framework of the study.



Figure 1. Theoretical framework of the study

3. RESEARCH METHOD

This study employed a quantitative research study via survey online questionnaire using nonprobability and convenience sampling techniques. Regardless of gender and educational level, 308 young individuals (aged 15 to 30) from different states in Malaysia took part in this study. A total of 30 items were measured through a five-point Likert scale ranging from 1 signifying 'strongly disagree' to 5 signifying 'strongly agree'. The 30 items represent constructs of computational thinking (CT) (15 items), robotic coding (RC) (10 items), and personal development (PD) (5 items). The items were adapted from a scale development study by Ertugrul-Akyol [8], in which the author established a questionnaire set to measure computational thinking scale. The proposed hypotheses were analyzed using SmartPLS (v.3.3.9) software to perform PLS-SEM. SPSS (v27) was used for demographic analysis. The total sample size of 308 met the requirement to apply SEM since a minimum sample size of 200 is considered suitable [24]. First, we used the two-stage approach to analyze the constructs' reliability and validity. In the second stage, we tested the hypotheses by running bootstrapping in SmartPLS.

4. **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

4.1. Demographic analysis

For the demographic analysis, out of the 308 participants, 67% were female, while males accounted for 32.80%. Although the gender distribution was not balanced, previous research studies have indicated that both males and females can have positive and satisfying experiences when engaging in robotics-related activities [25]. The age range of the surveyed youth in Malaysia was between 15 and 30 years old. The largest age group was 27-30 years old, comprising 35.40% of the respondents. The second-largest age group was 19-22 years old, representing 29.50% of the participants. The age group of 23-26 years old accounted for 28.90%, while the smallest group was 15-18 years old, constituting 6.20% of the respondents. In terms of educational background, the majority of respondents had a bachelor's degree, making up 54.20% of the participants. Youths who graduated with a diploma comprised 15.90%, while with a master's degree accounted for 15.60%. Respondents with SPM/O-Level qualifications represented 14.30% of the sample. Regarding employment status, the study found that 54.20% of the respondents were students, 29.50% were employed, 8.40% were self-employed, and 7.80% were unemployed. In terms of awareness of the term "Industry 4.0," 62% of the respondents reported being familiar with it, while 38% had not heard of it before.

4.2. Assessment of measurement and structural models

We used structural equation modelling (SEM) and confirmatory factor analysis (CFA) tools for data analysis and testing relationships between variables. Structural equation modeling (SEM) is a family of multivariate statistical analysis methods used to model complex structural relationships between measured variables and latent constructs [26]. CFA is commonly used to verify or confirm the factor structure of a set of observed variables [27]. It examines the strength and significance of the relationships between the observed variables and their corresponding latent factors, represented by factor loadings. The validity of the measurement model for latent constructs was evaluated using three types: convergent validity, construct validity, and discriminant validity [27], [28]. The average variance extracted (AVE) was calculated to assess convergent validity. Construct validity was evaluated by examining the fitness indices of the measurement model. Discriminant validity was established through the development of the Discriminant Validity Index Summary. Composite reliability (CR) was used instead of the traditional Cronbach alpha method of analysis to determine the reliability of CRIS. These approaches were suggested by various studies [24], [27], provided more robust measures for assessing validity and reliability. The measurement model depicted in Figure 2, consists of the indicators and the path coefficients between constructs.



Figure 2. PLS-SEM model

Determining youths' computational thinking skills using confirmatory ... (Mira Qerul Barriah Muhamad)

According to Table 1, no multicollinearity was found between the constructs. The outer and inner models of Variance Inflation Factor (VIF) values must be less than 10 [24], [27]. The values were ranging from 1.930 to 3.360, supporting the assessment of low multicollinearity. Since the collinearity value VIF < 3 except one (RC_03=3.360), the collinearity issues in this study are uncritical. All indicators are significantly and accurately assessing their respective latent variables. According to the rule of thumb, the outside loading values must exceed 0.7 [29]. Hence, it can be concluded that the outer loading, which ranges from 0.704 to 0.859 with a p-value <0.001, indicates that the convergent validity has been reached or indicator reliability exists, and all the latent variables of this study are valid and reliable. Consequently, all reflective indicators have been retained in the study.

The internal consistency reliability is evaluated using composite reliability and Cronbach's alpha values. The acceptable range for composite reliability is typically between 0.70 and 0.90 [30]. Values exceeding 0.90 to 0.95 may indicate redundant effects on the content validity of the measures [31]. In this study, the composite reliability value for computational thinking approaches 0.95, but it does not have an adverse impact on the model. Convergent validity is established when a significant amount of variance is shared among the indicators, indicating convergence on a specific construct. This can be assessed through the AVE. The AVE value should exceed 0.5 to establish convergent validity [24]. We observed sufficient outer loadings, and all AVE values exceed 0.5, indicating that convergent validity has been achieved.

Table 2 summarizes the findings, indicating that factors such as robotic coding software, professional development, and career planning collectively account for 65.70% of the variation in the computational thinking construct. Professional development and career planning contribute to 53.90% of the robotic coding and software construct variation. The R^2 values, which exceed 0.50, indicate that the independent variable moderately explains the dependent variable [28]. In a similar vein, the R^2 values imply that the model may be overly tailored to the data, indicating an appropriate fit for the proposed theoretical framework [24]. The impact of the exogenous constructs on the endogenous constructs is moderate, as evidenced by f^2 values ranging from 0.15 to 0.34, in accordance with Cohen [32]. The Q^2 value, which reflects the predictive relevance of the model, is 0.407 for computational thinking and 0.327 for robotic coding and software. These values surpass the threshold of 0.350, thus indicating a substantial level of predictive relevance in the study.

Table 1. Assessment of consistency, validity, and reliability							
Items	VIF	СТ	PD	RC	Cronbach's alpha	Composite reliability	Average variance extracted
CT_03	1.930	0.732					
CT_04	2.442	0.790					
CT_05	2.528	0.796					
CT_06	2.654	0.818					
CT_07	2.516	0.806					
CT_08	2.765	0.821			0.047	0.052	0.621
CT_09	2.442	0.780			0.947	0.955	0.031
CT_10	2.647	0.808					
CT_11	2.812	0.822					
CT_12	2.744	0.815					
CT_13	2.024	0.731					
CT_14	2.515	0.806					
PD_01	1.774		0.809				
PD_02	1.642		0.748				
PD_03	2.112		0.774		0.845	0.889	0.616
PD_04	2.007		0.822				
PD_05	2.099		0.769				
RC_01	2.729			0.814			
RC_02	2.319			0.807			
RC_03	3.360			0.859			
RC_04	2.179			0.793	0.899	0.920	0.624
RC_05	1.955			0.772			
RC_07	2.169			0.771			
RC 08	1.719			0.704			

Table 1. Assessment of consistency, validity, and reliability

Note: VIF = Collinearity Assessment, CT = Computational thinking, PD = Professional development and career planning, RC =Robotic coding and software and all the loadings (estimates) are significant at a p-value of 0.001

Table 2. Assessment of the structural model							
	Inner Collinearity statistics	R Square	F ²	Q²			
Computational thinking	2.171	0.657	0.242	0.0407			
Robotic coding and software	2.171	0.539	0.267	0.327			

Table 3 presents the path coefficients, standard deviations, absolute T values, and significance values. The significance of the coefficients was determined through bootstrapping, considering a significance level of 5% and critical values of 1.96 for two-tailed tests. All T values exceed 1.96, and the p-values are <0.05, indicating the significance of the relationships between the latent variables. The path coefficients are examined to evaluate the strength of these significant relationships. Notably, the path coefficients from professional development and career planning to computational thinking and from robotic coding and software to computational thinking are moderately strong, surpassing 0.4. The path coefficient from professional development and career planning to robotic coding and software demonstrates a strong relationship with a coefficient of 0.734. Consequently, we draw a conclusion that the three proposed paths are relevant and significant. According to Awang [27], when path coefficients, it is crucial to establish their statistical significance. Based on the path coefficient values presented in Table 4 for the theoretical model, all estimated values exceed 0.4 and are statistically significant (p<0.05).

Table 3. Structural model	(Inner model)) and	path coefficients
---------------------------	---------------	-------	-------------------

	Original sample (O)	Sample mean (M)	Standard deviation (STDEV)	(O/STDEV)	P values
Structural model	1 \	~ /	· /	N 17	
Professional development and career planning \rightarrow	0.424	0.427	0.057	7.498	0.000
Computational thinking scale					
Professional development and career planning \rightarrow	0.734	0.734	0.029	25.066	0.000
Robotic coding and software					
Robotic coding and software \rightarrow Computational	0.446	0.445	0.062	7.203	0.000
thinking scale					
Path coefficients					
Direct effects					
Professional development and career planning \rightarrow	0.424	0.43	0.055	7.663	0.000
Computational thinking scale					
Professional development and career planning \rightarrow	0.734	0.736	0.028	25.978	0.000
Robotic coding and software					
Robotic coding and software \rightarrow Computational	0.446	0.44	0.06	7.487	0.000
thinking scale					
Indirect effect					
Professional development and career planning \rightarrow	0.328	0.324	0.044	7.498	0.000
Computational thinking scale					

With reference to the Table 4, the researchers found that the indirect effect size is 0.324, and it is statistically significant (p value<0.05). This suggests that professional development and career planning partially mediate the relationship between the role of robotic coding and software and computational thinking. Additionally, the researchers found that the direct path from professional development and career planning to computational thinking has a coefficient of 0.430 and is statistically significant (p value<0.05). This indicates that there is also a direct effect of professional development and career planning on computational thinking. Overall, based on the results of the mediation analysis, the researchers have established that the independent latent variable (role of robotic coding and software) predicts the dependent variable (computational thinking) both directly and indirectly through the mediator variable (career planning). The significant indirect effect size supports the mediation effect, while a significant direct path suggests a partial mediation effect.

Table 4. Hypotheses results

	Path coefficient	t-value	p-value	Results		
H1: Robotic coding and software have a significant positive relationship	0.424	7.498	0.000	Accepted		
with computational thinking skills.						
H2: Professional development and career planning are significantly related	0.734	25.066	0.000	Accepted		
to computational thinking skills.						
H3: Robotic coding and software positively correlate with computational	0.446	7.203	0.000	Accepted		
thinking skills through professional development and career planning.						

5. CONCLUSION

It is concluded that professional development and career planning moderately mediate the relationship between robotic coding and software and computational thinking. The findings are hoped to assist educators, higher education institutions and policymakers in developing new insights relevant to

Determining youths' computational thinking skills using confirmatory ... (Mira Qerul Barriah Muhamad)

identifying the requirements for continually improving future industrial employability. This study was undertaken to validate a survey instrument for measuring CTS among Malaysian youths. The CFA then confirmed that the survey instrument fulfils the requirements for convergent, construct and discriminant validity. The scale is deemed valid and reliable for measuring the CTS, adding to the advancement of research and practice in this area. The instrument developed to assess CTS among Malaysian youths has potential interdisciplinary applications. Therefore, it may be applicable in various research settings, allowing for comparative studies and the examination of CTS across different populations. However, it is essential to consider cultural and contextual factors when applying the instrument in different settings. Some adaptations and modifications may be necessary to ensure its validity and reliability in specific cultural or educational contexts. Certainly, future research can explore additional factors that may influence young individuals' CTS. Understanding these factors can provide a more nuanced comprehension of the determinants of the computational thinking scale. Regarding mediating variable, future scholars can explore additional variables that may enhance the strengths of the CTS instrument and provide a more inclusive perspective of the relationship between different constructs.

REFERENCES

- C. Wang, J. Shen, and J. Chao, "Integrating Computational Thinking in STEM Education: A Literature Review," *International Journal of Science and Mathematics Education*, vol. 20, no. 8, pp. 1949–1972, Dec. 2022, doi: 10.1007/s10763-021-10227-5.
- [2] J. Nadarajah, "Measuring the Gap in Employability Skills Among Malaysian Graduates," International Journal of Modern Trends in Social Sciences, vol. 4, no. 15, pp. 81–87, Mar. 2021, doi: 10.35631/IJMTSS.415007.
- [3] D. A. Whetten and K. S. Cameron, Developing management skills, 8th ed. Upper Saddle River, N.J: Prentice Hall/Pearson, 2011.
- [4] Ö. Korkmaz, R. Çakir, and M. Y. Özden, "A validity and reliability study of the computational thinking scales (CTS)," *Computers in Human Behavior*, vol. 72, pp. 558–569, Jul. 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.chb.2017.01.005.
- [5] Ö. Korkmaz and X. Bai, "Adapting Computational Thinking Scale (CTS) for Chinese High School Students and Their Thinking Scale Skills Level," *Participatory Educational Research*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 10–26, Jun. 2019, doi: 10.17275/per.19.2.6.1.
- [6] M. Yagci, "A valid and reliable tool for examining computational thinking skills," *Education and Information Technologies*, vol. 24, no. 1, pp. 929–951, Jan. 2019, doi: 10.1007/s10639-018-9801-8.
- [7] Y. Gulbahar, S. B. Kert, and F. Kalelioğlu, "The Self-Efficacy Perception Scale for Computational Thinking Skill: Validity and Reliability Study," *Turkish Journal of Computer and Mathematics Education (TURCOMAT)*, vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 1–29, Oct. 2018, doi: 10.16949/turkbilmat.385097.
- B. Ertugrul-Akyol, "Development of Computational Thinking Scale: Validity and Reliability Study," International Journal of Educational Methodology, vol. 5, no. 3, pp. 421–432, Aug. 2019, doi: 10.12973/ijem.5.3.421.
- [9] M. A. Mokhtar and N. Noordin, "An exploratory study of industry 4.0 in Malaysia: a case study of higher education institution in Malaysia," *Indonesian Journal of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science (IJEECS)*, vol. 16, no. 2, pp. 978–987, Nov. 2019, doi: 10.11591/ijeecs.v16.i2.pp978-987.
- [10] Department of Statistics Malaysia, "Press Release Labour Force Survey Report, Malaysia, 2020," Department of Statistics Malaysia, Apr. 2021. [Online]. Available: https://www.dosm.gov.my/v1/index.php
- [11] Y. M. Ling, N. A. Abdul Hamid, and L. T. Chuan, "Is Malaysia ready for Industry 4.0? Issues and Challenges in Manufacturing Industry," *International Journal of Integrated Engineering*, vol. 12, no. 7, Oct. 2020, doi: 10.30880/ijie.2020.12.07.016.
- [12] H. M. Kaidi et al., "Engaging youths in skill development through network system wiring workshop in rural area," *Indonesian Journal of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science (IJEECS)*, vol. 16, no. 2, pp. 950–955, Nov. 2019, doi: 10.11591/ijeecs.v16.i2.pp950-955.
- [13] I. Lee et al., "Computational thinking for youth in practice," ACM Inroads, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 32–37, Feb. 2011, doi: 10.1145/1929887.1929902.
- [14] A. Yadav, H. Hong, and C. Stephenson, "Computational Thinking for All: Pedagogical Approaches to Embedding 21st Century Problem Solving in K-12 Classrooms," *TechTrends*, vol. 60, no. 6, pp. 565–568, Nov. 2016, doi: 10.1007/s11528-016-0087-7.
- [15] J. Nouri, L. Zhang, L. Mannila, and E. Norén, "Development of computational thinking, digital competence and 21st century skills when learning programming in K-9," *Education Inquiry*, vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 1–17, Jan. 2020, doi: 10.1080/20004508.2019.1627844.
- [16] J. M. Wing, "Computational thinking," Commun. ACM, vol. 49, no. 3, pp. 33–35, Mar. 2006, doi: 10.1145/1118178.1118215.
- [17] M. Román-González, J. Moreno-León, and G. Robles, "Combining Assessment Tools for a Comprehensive Evaluation of Computational Thinking Interventions," in *Computational Thinking Education*, Singapore: Springer Singapore, 2019, pp. 79–98. doi: 10.1007/978-981-13-6528-7_6.
- [18] W. H. Stewart, Y. Baek, G. Kwid, and K. Taylor, "Exploring Factors That Influence Computational Thinking Skills in Elementary Students' Collaborative Robotics," *Journal of Educational Computing Research*, vol. 59, no. 6, pp. 1208–1239, Oct. 2021, doi: 10.1177/0735633121992479.
- [19] G. Chen, J. Shen, L. Barth-Cohen, S. Jiang, X. Huang, and M. Eltoukhy, "Assessing elementary students' computational thinking in everyday reasoning and robotics programming," *Computers & Education*, vol. 109, pp. 162–175, Jun. 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.compedu.2017.03.001.
- [20] Y. Baek, S. Wang, D. Yang, Y.-H. Ching, S. Swanson, and B. Chittoori, "Revisiting Second Graders' Robotics with an Understand/Use-Modify-Create (U²MC) Strategy," *European Journal of STEM Education*, vol. 4, no. 1, May 2019, doi: 10.20897/ejsteme/5772.
- [21] M. U. Bers, C. González-González, and M. B. Armas–Torres, "Coding as a playground: Promoting positive learning experiences in childhood classrooms," *Computers & Education*, vol. 138, pp. 130–145, Sep. 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.compedu.2019.04.013.
- [22] S. Othman and A. H. A. Hamid, "Exploring Women's Transformational Leadership Style and Competency: A Higher Education Malaysia Perspective," Asian Journal of University Education, vol. 19, no. 2, 2023.
- [23] K. Gökoğlan and U. Kaval, "A study on students' career strategies: example of Dicle University," *Turkish Business Journal*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 15–28, 2020.

- J. F. Hair, J. J. Risher, M. Sarstedt, and C. M. Ringle, "When to use and how to report the results of PLS-SEM," European [24] Business Review, vol. 31, no. 1, pp. 2-24, Jan. 2019, doi: 10.1108/EBR-11-2018-0203.
- [25] S. Atmatzidou and S. Demetriadis, "Advancing students' computational thinking skills through educational robotics: A study on age and gender relevant differences," Robotics and Autonomous Systems, vol. 75, pp. 661-670, Jan. 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.robot.2015.10.008.
- S. Abrahim, B. A. Mir, H. Suhara, F. A. Mohamed, and M. Sato, "Structural equation modeling and confirmatory factor analysis [26] of social media use and education," International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education, vol. 16, no. 1, p. 32, Dec. 2019, doi: 10.1186/s41239-019-0157-y.
- Z. Awang, SEM Made Simple. MPWS Publisher, 2015. [27]
- [28] J. F. Hair, Ed., A primer on partial least squares structural equation modeling (PLS-SEM), 2nd ed. Los Angeles: Sage, 2017.
- [29] M. Sarstedt, C. M. Ringle, and J. F. Hair, "Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modeling," in Handbook of Market Research, Cham: Springer International Publishing, 2021, pp. 1–47. doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-05542-8_15-2.
 [30] J. C. Nunnally, *Psychometric Theory 3E*. New York, NY: Tata McGraw-Hill Education, 1994.
- [31] J. R. Rossiter, "The C-OAR-SE procedure for scale development in marketing," International Journal of Research in Marketing, vol. 19, no. 4, pp. 305-335, Dec. 2002, doi: 10.1016/S0167-8116(02)00097-6.
- [32] J. Cohen, "Quantitative Methods in Psychology," Psychological Bulletin, vol. 112, no. 1, pp. 155–159, 1992.

BIOGRAPHIES OF AUTHORS



Mira Qerul Barriah Muhamad 🗅 🔀 🖾 🗘 has just graduated with a PhD in Business Management from the Faculty of Business and Management, Universiti Teknologi Mara Shah Alam, Selangor, Malaysia. She has a strong interest in the domain of Industry 4.0 from the conceptual towards the applications of Industry 4.0 technologies in education, businesses and industries. She worked as a University Tutor for 2 years and a half. She is looking forward to opportunities in pursuing meaningful research collaborations in modern methods of construction. She can be contacted at email: myraqerul@gmail.com.



Indrajit Ajit Doddanavar 💿 🕺 🖾 🗘 is a full-time Assistant Professor of Business Management at Jain College of MCA & MBA, R.S. No. 19, Block 'D', Near Panchayat Water Tank, Piranwadi, Belagavi, Karnataka, India- 590014, affiliated to Rani Channamma University, Karnataka. In 2019, he earned a Ph.D. in 'Branding' from Jain Deemed-to-be University. His research and interest areas include topics in marketing, human resources, and general management that are relevant to industry and consumers. He has fourteen years of extensive expertise across many sectors of Industry. He wants to work as a researcher to investigate relevant and current research premises. He can be contacted at email: doddanavar.ia@gmail.com.



Shanjida Chowdhury 💿 🔀 🖻 🕩 is an Assistant Professor at Southeast University under the Southeast Business School. She has completed her B.Sc. and MSc. degrees from the Department of Statistics, Biostatistics, and Informatics, University of Dhaka, Bangladesh. She is currently continuing her Ph.D. in the Department of Population Sciences at the University of Dhaka, Bangladesh. During her ten-year teaching and research career, she has contributed to several scientific articles in the fields of statistics and applied statistics. She has also attended national and international conferences and workshops organized by different universities and research bodies. Her areas of research interest include econometric analysis, biostatistics, and demographic health surveys. She can be contacted at email: shanjida.chowdhury@seu.edu.bd