

Mapping literature of multicultural education: a bibliometric review

Roni Rodiyana^{1,2}, Bunyamin Maftuh³, Sapriya⁴, Ernawulan Syaodih⁵, Davi Sofyan⁶,
Khairul Hafezad Abdullah⁷

¹Department of Elementary Education, School of Postgraduate, Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia, Bandung, Indonesia

²Department of Primary Teacher Education, Faculty of Teacher Training and Education, Universitas Majalengka, Majalengka, Indonesia

³Department of Social Science Education, Faculty of Social Sciences Education, Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia, Bandung, Indonesia

⁴Department of Civic Education, Faculty of Social Sciences Education, Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia, Bandung, Indonesia

⁵Department of Early Childhood Education, Faculty of Science Education, Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia, Bandung, Indonesia

⁶Department of Physical Education, Faculty of Teacher Training and Education, Universitas Majalengka, Majalengka, Indonesia

⁷School of Business Management, UUM College of Business, Universiti Utara Malaysia, Kedah, Malaysia

Article Info

Article history:

Received Jan 17, 2023

Revised Dec 2, 2023

Accepted Dec 21, 2023

Keywords:

Bibliometric
Multicultural education
Research trends
Scopus database
Thematic evolution

ABSTRACT

The value of multicultural education is acknowledged on a global scale, despite the fact that various barriers prevent its complete implementation. These include cultural, linguistic, religious, economic, difference in physical condition, and ethnic backgrounds. By assessing publishing trends, extracting data on author keyword trends, examining conceptual evolution, and establishing possible future research on this topic using the Scopus database. This study found that publications grew in quantity from 2013 to 2022, decreased in 2015 and 2018 but were not significant, and 2021 was the highest peak with 221 documents. With 111 publications, the United States is the most prolific and co-authored with authors from Canada, China, United Kingdom, Germany, Australia and South Korea. Based on thematic evolution, 'subspace-clustering', 'conversational-system', 'aortic-aneurysm', 'Bayesian-network-classifier', are themes or topics that have recently developed. By utilizing these important terms, the study of multicultural education can be examined more thoroughly and more extensive in the future in order to learn new knowledge. In conclusion, this research has the potential to contextualize previous research on the topic and create an evidence-based practice paradigm for future studies grounded in science.

This is an open access article under the [CC BY-SA](#) license.



Corresponding Author:

Roni Rodiyana

Department of Elementary Education, School of Postgraduate, Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia
Sukasari, Bandung, West Java 40154, Indonesia

Email: ronirodiyana@gmail.com

1. INTRODUCTION

Conflict comes from cultural clashes when there is no respect and understanding for each other [1]. Education-related activities with a multicultural viewpoint are required to lessen this conflict. In all its forms, education is a social institution that reflects societal norms of privilege and marginalization [2]. As a result, they will grow in understanding and respect for one another and in developing a character that is accepting of differences. To assist schools in cultivating the kind of inclusive and open-minded culture that, if disseminated, can help create more peaceful, cohesive and democratic countries, pedagogical techniques such as multicultural education, peace education, and others have been developed [3]–[5]. In the twenty-first century, schools in most countries have experienced an increasing diversity of student populations. As a

result, the idea of ‘multicultural education’ has been established in many countries to provide more relevant and responsive schools to children from different cultural backgrounds [6].

Access to technology for historically underrepresented populations, such as children of color, children with disabilities, and poor children, is the subject of many studies on technology and equity in education [7]. As an important component of the modern world, “schools” should be created with the aim of appealing to every student in practically every way [8]. The promotion of equality in the classroom can foster a sense of equity and the consequences of diversity in the classroom [9]. The multicultural education paradigm includes the social justice paradigm, the equality paradigm, the *Bhinneka Tunggal Ika* paradigm [10]. Multicultural education grew out of the context of the “civil rights movement” which symbolized the search for educational justice to the forefront of people’s lives [11]. Many empirical studies on multicultural education have been conducted [12]–[15]. The phrase “multicultural education” describes a collection of educational practices and materials that aid teachers in addressing the different issues brought on by a shifting and developing student body [16]. The goal of multicultural education is to shape students’ personalities so that they accept all forms of difference from others [17].

A thorough bibliometric study was conducted in a number of subdomains related to multicultural education. These subdomains include: racial and ethnic diversity [18], race and gender [19], cross-disciplinary learning culture [20], social justice, equality and diversity [21], culture [22], ethnic identity and acculturation [23], equity in education [24]. The current study was produced, taking into account the growing importance of “multicultural education”, especially in the last 10 years [25]. It was seen as an excellent opportunity to describe the current level of research on the topic and show the scientific community how it is developing [17]. The results show that many other scientific fields, including applied social sciences and environmental sciences, are increasingly interested in the “concept of multicultural education” [26]. Therefore, a bibliometric study was carried out in this study. Bibliometrics alone can be useful for researchers to provide in-depth analysis of related knowledge, bibliometrics is an extraordinary useful research instrument [27]. The current study carried out a bibliometric analysis of multicultural education: i) to assess publishing trends by year and country; ii) to explore information about author keyword trends; iii) to investigate conceptual evolution; and iv) to investigate future research directions for the topic researched.

2. RESEARCH METHOD

Bibliometric analysis has been demonstrated to be a reliable method for locating and analyzing research in the literature, as well as developing a system for studying literature to uncover publication patterns and trends [28]. To achieve the research objectives, three analytical programs-ScientoPy, VOSviewer, and SciMAT-were specifically employed in this study. The first important step that must be taken is the selection of the database and the retrieval of its metadata [29]. Metadata retrieval was carried out on August 9, 2022, on the largest abstract database in the world, namely Scopus in the last 10 years (2013-2022). In the Scopus database, 1,566 metadata were located. There is probably more than one document in the total number of documents displayed in the dataset section. Figure 1 displays a flowchart for data retrieval and gathering. Microsoft Excel, ScientoPy, VOSviewer, and SciMAT were used to create tables, graphs, and mapping charts to visualize bibliometric parameters for 1,563 data sets. In bibliometric analysis, the total number of metadata requirements varies substantially.

In the Python programming language, ScientoPy is a free and open source scientometric analysis tool [30]. To visualize the parameters that have been analyzed in a given study, ScientoPy uses five different forms of visual graphs, including timelines, bars, trend bars, evolution, and word clouds [31]. To account for the incoming data set, ScientoPy automatically creates pre-processing steps, including the number of articles per database both before and after the duplicate elimination filter is used [32]. In this study, bibliometric mapping made with VOSviewer is used to explore co-authorship by nation and co-occurrence of author keywords. According to [33], [34] the mapping method used by VOSviewer to convert data in comma separated values (CSV) format into diagrams or clusters includes visual components. This study maps visual elements using VOSviewer software, which converts the captured data into clusters in CSV format [35]. Co-occurrence analysis was used to identify the author’s keywords. Nodes stand for publication frequency, whereas connection width denotes the degree of link [33]. SciMAT is a unique software that analyzes scientific articles to follow field structure, growth, and key intervening factors [36]. In addition, it provides a number of pre-processing methods to troubleshoot raw database data [37], based on the recommendations of Cobo *et al.* [38].




Figure 1. The flowchart of data collection and data retrieval [28]

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Before beginning the bibliometric analysis, this study identifies certain less evident publication descriptors, such as the leading author, the kind of source, the language, the subject area, and the source of funding. In addition, it is important for future readers and researchers to understand the importance of the research theme. In addition, it may attract the attention of future academics who are interested in refining the parameters that affect the growth or decline of year-based publications. There were 1,563 publications on multicultural education written by 159 authors starting from 2013. Table 1 lists the author details, organized by number of publications, for authors who have at least five documents on this subject to be analyzed. With 12 publications, the findings show that Günay and Aydin [8], is the most prolific writer. This study recognizes that, the national Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC), is the main source of funding for research by providing a total of 35 funding to researchers related to multicultural education [39].

Table 1. Active authors

Author	Affiliation and country	H-Index	Citation	DE	DME
Aydin, H.	Florida Gulf Coast University, Fort Myers, United States	33	3577	50	12
Abduh, A.	Universitas Negeri Makassar, Makassar, Indonesia	10	402	34	6
Whiting, E.F.	Brigham Young University, Provo, United States	12	666	29	6
Basri, M.	Universitas Negeri Makassar, Makassar, Indonesia	9	224	6	5
Cutri, R.M.	Brigham Young University, Provo, United States	13	694	20	5

DS: Document in Scopus; DME: Document related multicultural education

Figure 2 shows the 10 most active scientific sources in publishing articles on multicultural education. These ten scientific sources have published as many as 166 (10.62%) documents out of a total of 1,563 documents. Multicultural Education Review is the most active scientific source with a total of 30 (1.92%) documents that they have published. Next, Multicultural Perspectives with 27 (1.72%) and the International Journal of Multicultural Education with 21 (1.34%) documents are ranked second and third.

Each year, it is important to keep an eye on publishing trends to find potential areas for further research. Future readers and potential researchers should be aware of the significance of the research theme. Future academics interested in adjusting for factors influencing the growth or decline of year-based publications may also be interested in it. In 10 years, the publication of academic texts related to multicultural education continues to grow in quantity from 2013 to 2022. Almost every year, more than 100 documents have been published in various scientific sources. In 2013, a total of 113 (7.23%) documents were published. This shows that the interest of researchers around the world is quite high. Those documents that are entered in the Scopus database, of course, in other databases, such as Google Scholar, ERIC, Web of Science (WoS), there are more documents recorded. The trend of publications tends to increase every year in the last 10 years until this research is carried out; 2021 was the highest peak with 221 (14.14%) documents

The development of many topics, which are divided into chronological eras, illustrates the interrelationships between themes from various eras. Continuous lines used to represent conceptual linkages demonstrate connections based on concepts. Relationships based on keywords and denoted by dotted lines are nonconceptual. The number of subjects or keywords that matched is also shown by the line thickness. It contains more themes or keywords as it becomes bolder. The five knowledge base periods in this study are: P1 (2013-2014), P2 (2015-2016), P3 (2017-2018), P4 (2019-2020), and P5 (2021-2022).

Table 2. Thematic evolution

Period	Year	Keywords
Period 1	2013-2014	Ethnic-group, multicultural-education, diversity, e-learning, support-vector-machines, questionnaire, social-interaction, school, ethnic-minority, pedagogy, globalization, faith-school, learning-strategies, animal, learning-approach, learning-style, semi-structured-interview
Period 2	2015-2016	Student, human, diversity, multicultural-education, ethnic-group, motivation, learning-algorithms, attitude, learning-style, action-potential, clustering, conditional-independence, narrative, service-learning, counsellor-education, bacteriophage, graduate-students, model, art, "values"
Period 3	2017-2018	Questionnaire, student, perception, diversity, multicultural-education, random-forests, apoptosis-regulatory-protein, executive-function, Australia, multicultural, family, k-nearest-neighbors, longitudinal-study, neural-networks, e-learning, art, machine-learning-models, health-disparity, organization, educational-policy, ethnic-group
Period 4	2019-2020	Attitude, human, multicultural-education, animal, convolutional-neural-network, multicultural, perception, pedagogy, surveys, classifier-ensembles, university, e-learning, disable-persons, art, interneuron, plant, well-being, ecosystem, migration, internationalization-of-higher-education, college-students, diversity-management, affirmative-action, learning-styles
Period 5	2021-2022	Minority-group, learning-algorithms, student, deep-neural-networks, diversity, multiculturalism, school, neural-networks, questionnaire, organization, multicultural, subspace-clustering, longitudinal-study, animal, conversational-system, cost, learning-organization, simulation, perception, learning-environment, aortic-aneurysm, Bayesian-network-classifier, educational-policy, faith-schools, nurse

3.1. Discussion

In order to stimulate additional discussion and the development of strategies and approaches for handling differences that are relatively challenging to resolve and necessitate extra effort, we present an overview of research that has been undertaken and published. The topic of multicultural education in the last ten years has produced 1,563 Scopus indexed documents and attracted 159 authors worldwide. Aydin, a member of Florida Gulf Coast University in Fort Myers, Florida, is the most prolific author on multicultural education with 12 scientific works in the Scopus database. In 2017, he wrote a manuscript entitled: "The educational needs of and barriers faced by Syrian refugee students in Turkey: a qualitative case study," is his most cited article with 153 citations. The NSFC aims to support fundamental research, encourage unrestricted inquiry, find and nurture scientific talent, advance science and technology, and ensure the harmonious socio-economic growth of the country [40].

The development of publications over the last ten years is quite growing, this is indicated by more than 100 articles published every year. This can be supported by the assertion [41], revealing that multicultural education often appears to represent a shift from who has the power to define problems, issues, jobs, and the goals of the work. Education professionals and academics do not yet have access to publicly available and validated survey instruments based on a critical conceptual understanding of the multicultural school climate [42]. At the global level, an additional challenge is that the field of multicultural education is dominated by a United States perspective, which is when multicultural education comes to the fore. Cultural differences in society also bring new challenges in education. Dialogue and peace in society depend on training individuals dealing with education [43], as well as promoting constructive and cooperative relationships among children from different cultural and linguistic backgrounds [44], [45].

Of all the countries, the United States produces the most scientific research on multiculturalism. Canada, China, Britain, Germany, Australia, and South Korea are close allies of the United States. In the United States, multicultural education has always been political. It was inspired by the civil rights struggle and developed in the 1970s (and in Canada by the debate about the diverse citizenships of cultural minorities). In this view, it is impossible to understand multicultural education in a socially or politically neutral manner. In reality, no educational philosophy is useful unless it emphasizes improving student achievement as a whole and giving them the opportunity to fully participate in society. These include the recent evolution of the concepts of culture and cultural hybridity; the difference between biological and sociological conceptions of 'race', postmodern understanding of identity and subjectivity; and an ethnographic account of how students' school experiences are shaped by globalization, immigration, classroom culture, and other factors. Also, the combination of privileges enjoyed by native English-speaking white children in the United States is often underestimated, and the literature has not addressed it carefully [46].

According to thematic evolution, “subspace-clustering”, “conversational-system”, “aortic-aneurysm”, “Bayesian-network-classifier”, are themes or topics that have recently developed. These crucial terms have advanced the field of multicultural education research. It is seen that certain themes, especially those related to subspace grouping, speech systems, aortic aneurysms, Bayesian tissue classifiers, are of increasing importance. These themes or topics need further research in order to obtain the latest, more comprehensive information in education, especially multicultural education.

4. CONCLUSION

The parameters analyzed in the results and discussion of course become valid data based on the results of data processing carried out by third parties. The statistics of this publication provide an illustration that the existence and position of multicultural education continues to develop according to the times. Future researchers should investigate these terms in more depth using scoping studies or a comprehensive literature review to understand their relationship. This study only serves as a starting point for further analysis of the subject matter. The findings of this study can provide a theoretical framework for the problem, an overview of the state of the art, and predictions of future research gaps. The potential for future research on multicultural education, including a thorough investigation of the specific issues raised in this study, is an important aspect of this scientific analysis.





REFERENCES

- [1] A. Sokatch, “Toward a research agenda: Building character strengths in school settings,” *Journal of Youth and Adolescence*, vol. 46, no. 6, pp. 1238–1239, Jun. 2017, doi: 10.1007/s10964-017-0657-9.
- [2] S. Marx, J. L. Pennington, and H. Chang, “Critical autoethnography in pursuit of educational equity: Introduction to the IJME special issue,” *International Journal of Multicultural Education*, vol. 19, no. 1, pp. 1–6, 2017, doi: 10.18251/ijme.v19i1.1393.
- [3] A. A. Elmi, “Peace education in Canada: Teacher perceptions of the cultivating peace education program,” *Journal of Contemporary Issues in Education*, vol. 4, no. 2, pp. 41–54, 2010, doi: 10.20355/c5qg6x.
- [4] C. Harber and N. Sakade, “Schooling for violence and peace: How does peace education differ from ‘normal’ schooling?” *Journal of Peace Education*, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 171–187, Sep. 2009, doi: 10.1080/17400200903086599.
- [5] H. Parkhouse, C. Y. Lu, and V. R. Massaro, “Multicultural education professional development: A review of the literature,” *Review of Educational Research*, vol. 89, no. 3, pp. 416–458, 2019, doi: 10.3102/0034654319840359.
- [6] T. Arphattanon, “Multicultural education in Thailand,” *Intercultural Education*, vol. 29, no. 2, pp. 149–162, 2018, doi: 10.1080/14675986.2018.1430020.
- [7] S. Marx and Y. Kim, “Technology for Equity and Social Justice in Education: Introduction to the Special Issue,” *International Journal of Multicultural Education*, vol. 21, no. 1, pp. 1–4, Mar. 2019, doi: 10.18251/ijme.v21i1.1939.
- [8] R. Günay and H. Aydın, “Inclinations in studies into multicultural education in Turkey: A content analysis study,” *Eğitim ve Bilim*, vol. 40, no. 178, pp. 1–22, 2015, doi: 10.15390/EB.2015.3294.
- [9] C. L. Lash, “Multicultural citizenship education as resistance: Student political development in an anti-immigrant national climate,” *Teaching and Teacher Education*, vol. 105, p. 103405, Sep. 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.tate.2021.103405.
- [10] S. Choi and X. Mao, “Teacher autonomy for improving teacher self-efficacy in multicultural classrooms: A cross-national study of professional development in multicultural education,” *International Journal of Educational Research*, vol. 105, p. 101711, 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.ijer.2020.101711.
- [11] S. Nieto, “Re-imagining multicultural education: new visions, new possibilities,” *Multicultural Education Review*, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 1–10, Jan. 2017, doi: 10.1080/2005615X.2016.1276671.
- [12] T. Alviar-Martin and L. C. Ho, “‘So, where do they fit in?’ Teachers’ perspectives of multi-cultural education and diversity in Singapore,” *Teaching and Teacher Education*, vol. 27, no. 1, pp. 127–135, 2011, doi: 10.1016/j.tate.2010.07.009.
- [13] M. Kobayashi, “A digital storytelling project in a multicultural education class for pre-service teachers,” *Journal of Education for Teaching*, vol. 38, no. 2, pp. 215–219, Apr. 2012, doi: 10.1080/02607476.2012.656470.
- [14] B. Picower, “The unexamined whiteness of teaching: How white teachers maintain and enact dominant racial ideologies,” *Race Ethnicity and Education*, vol. 12, no. 2, pp. 197–215, 2009, doi: 10.1080/13613320902995475.
- [15] K. L. Walker, “Deficit thinking and the effective teacher,” *Education and Urban Society*, vol. 43, no. 5, pp. 576–597, 2011, doi: 10.1177/0013124510380721.
- [16] O. Agirdag, M. S. Merry, and M. van Houtte, “Teachers’ understanding of multicultural education and the correlates of multicultural content integration in Flanders,” *Education and Urban Society*, vol. 48, no. 6, pp. 556–582, 2016, doi: 10.1177/0013124514536610.
- [17] I. P. Hummelstedt, G. I. M. Holm, F. J. Sahlström, and H. A.-C. Zilliacus, “Diversity as the new normal and persistent constructions of the immigrant other—discourses on multicultural education among teacher educators,” *Teaching and Teacher Education*, vol. 108, p. 103510, Dec. 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.tate.2021.103510.
- [18] A. F. Cabrera, A. J. M. Guerrero, J. S. P. Sánchez, and A. M. Rodríguez-García, “Bullying among teens: Are ethnicity and race risk factors for victimization? A bibliometric research,” *Education Sciences*, vol. 9, no. 3, 2019, doi: 10.3390/educsci9030220.
- [19] H. Bauer, F. Gebresenbet, M. Kiki, L. Simpson, and C. Sillero-Zubiri, “Race and gender bias in the research community on African lions,” *Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution*, vol. 7, pp. 1–4, 2019, doi: 10.3389/fevo.2019.00024.
- [20] J. Wang and S. Zhang, “Cross-cultural learning: A visualized bibliometric analysis based on bibliometrix from 2002 to 2021,” *Mobile Information Systems*, vol. 2022, pp. 1–11, May 2022, doi: 10.1155/2022/7478223.
- [21] S. Gümüş, K. Arar, and I. Oplatka, “Review of international research on school leadership for social justice, equity and diversity,” *Journal of Educational Administration and History*, vol. 53, no. 1, pp. 81–99, 2021, doi: 10.1080/00220620.2020.1862767.
- [22] A. J. Moreno-Guerrero, M. E. Parra-González, J. López-Belmonte, and A. Segura-Robles, “Science mapping analysis of ‘cultural’ in web of science (1908–2019),” *Quality and Quantity*, vol. 56, no. 1, pp. 239–257, 2022, doi: 10.1007/s11135-021-01131-9.




- [23] Y. Shuangyun and L. Hongxia, "Knowledge mapping of ethnic identity and acculturation based on the method of bibliometric," *Journal of Ethnic and Cultural Studies*, vol. 7, no. 3, pp. 17–33, 2020, doi: 10.29333/ejecs/370.
- [24] P. J. de los Santos, A. J. Moreno-Guerrero, J. A. Marín-Marín, and R. S. Costa, "The term equity in education: A literature review with scientific mapping in web of science," *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, vol. 17, no. 10, 2020, doi: 10.3390/ijerph17103526.
- [25] C. Parker and K. Bickmore, "Classroom peace circles: Teachers' professional learning and implementation of restorative dialogue," *Teaching and Teacher Education*, vol. 95, p. 103129, 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.tate.2020.103129.
- [26] G. O. Adebayo, "Counter-radicalization policies and policing in education: making a case for human security in Europe," *Heliyon*, vol. 7, no. 2, 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.heliyon.2020.e05721.
- [27] F. S. A. Aziz, S. H. Harith, K. H. Abdullah, and D. Sofyan, "Trends and evolution of road user behaviour research: A bibliometric review," *International Journal of Information Science and Management*, vol. 20, no. 3, pp. 69–93, 2022.
- [28] K. H. Abdullah and D. Sofyan, "Middle managers and dilemmas in the organisation: A bibliometric review," *Asian Journal of Research in Business and Management*, vol. 4, no. 2, pp. 35–49, 2022.
- [29] D. Sofyan and K. H. Abdullah, "College sport publication trends over 15 decades: A bibliometric analysis," *Khizanah al-Hikmah: Jurnal Ilmu Perpustakaan, Informasi, dan Kearsipan*, vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 69–82, 2022, doi: 10.24252/kah.v10i1a7.
- [30] J. Ruiz-Rosero, G. Ramirez-Gonzalez, J. M. Williams, H. Liu, R. Khanna, and G. Pisharody, "Internet of things: A scientometric review," *Symmetry*, vol. 9, no. 12, 2017, doi: 10.3390/sym9120301.
- [31] J. Ruiz-Rosero, G. Ramirez-Gonzalez, and J. Viveros-Delgado, "Software survey: ScientoPy, a scientometric tool for topics trend analysis in scientific publications," *Scientometrics*, vol. 121, no. 2, pp. 1165–1188, 2019, doi: 10.1007/s11192-019-03213-w.
- [32] D. Sofyan and K. H. Abdullah, "Scientific developments in educational innovation research in Indonesia and Malaysia: A scientometric review," *International Journal of Educational Innovation and Research*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 42–51, Apr. 2022, doi: 10.31949/ijeir.v1i1.2312.
- [33] N. J. van Eck and L. Waltman, "Software survey: VOSviewer, a computer program for bibliometric mapping," *Scientometrics*, vol. 84, no. 2, pp. 523–538, Aug. 2010, doi: 10.1007/s11192-009-0146-3.
- [34] N. J. van Eck and L. Waltman, "Accuracy of citation data in Web of Science and Scopus," in *16th International Conference on Scientometrics and Informetrics, Conference Proceedings*, Jun. 2017, pp. 1087–1092. [Online]. Available: <http://arxiv.org/abs/1906.07011>
- [35] N. J. van Eck and L. Waltman, "Citation-based clustering of publications using CitNetExplorer and VOSviewer," *Scientometrics*, vol. 111, no. 2, pp. 1053–1070, May 2017, doi: 10.1007/s11192-017-2300-7.
- [36] K. H. Abdullah *et al.*, "Six decades of publication performances and scientific maps on sports nutrition," *Journal Sport Area*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 1–22, 2022, doi: 10.25299/sportarea.2022.vol7(1).8126.
- [37] M. J. Cobo, A. G. López-Herrera, E. Herrera-Viedma, and F. Herrera, "An approach for detecting, quantifying, and visualizing the evolution of a research field: A practical application to the Fuzzy Sets theory field," *Journal of Informetrics*, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 146–166, Jan. 2011, doi: 10.1016/j.joi.2010.10.002.
- [38] M. J. Cobo, A. G. López-Herrera, E. Herrera-Viedma, and F. Herrera, "Science mapping software tools: Review, analysis, and cooperative study among tools," *Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology*, vol. 62, no. 7, pp. 1382–1402, 2011.
- [39] T. Preethi and R. Lakshmi, "An implementation of clustering project proposals on ontology based text mining approach," in *2013 International Conference on Information Communication and Embedded Systems (ICICES)*, IEEE, Feb. 2013, pp. 547–550. doi: 10.1109/ICICES.2013.6508288.
- [40] M. Poo and L. Wang, "A new face at Natural Science Foundation of China—An interview with NSFC President Wei Yang," *National Science Review*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 157–160, Mar. 2014, doi: 10.1093/nsr/nwt031.
- [41] C. Sleeter, "Multicultural education past, present, and future: struggles for dialog and power-sharing intercultural education multicultural education comes into being twenty-two years and two emblematic experiences ago elites react neoliberal multicultural education?" *International Journal of Multicultural Education*, vol. 20, no. 1, pp. 5–20, 2018.
- [42] S. Marx and D. Byrnes, "Multicultural school climate inventory," *Current Issues in Education*, vol. 15, no. 3, pp. 1–15, 2012.
- [43] S. A. Salgur and A. Gursoy, "Multicultural education and teacher's characteristics," *Euromentor Journal*, vol. 6, no. 3, pp. 7–17, Sep. 2015.
- [44] D. Kim, S. L. Kim, and M. Barnett, "“That makes sense now!”: Bicultural middle school students' learning in a culturally relevant science classroom," *International Journal of Multicultural Education*, vol. 23, no. 2, pp. 145–172, 2021, doi: 10.18251/ijme.v23i2.2595.
- [45] Y. Kim, S. Marx, H. V. Pham, and T. Nguyen, "Designing for robot-mediated interaction among culturally and linguistically diverse children," *Educational Technology Research and Development*, vol. 69, no. 6, pp. 3233–3254, Dec. 2021, doi: 10.1007/s11423-021-10051-2.
- [46] S. Marx, "Intercultural manifestations of racial, language, and class privilege in schooling: An autoethnographic tale," *International Journal of Multicultural Education*, vol. 19, no. 1, pp. 24–40, 2017, doi: 10.18251/ijme.v19i1.1270.

BIOGRAPHIES OF AUTHORS






Roni Rodyana     is a teaching staff in the Elementary School Teacher Education Study Program, Faculty of Teacher Training and Education, Universitas Majalengka, Indonesia. Currently completing a doctoral program at the Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia, focusing on the theme of multicultural education. He can be contacted at email: roniodyana@gmail.com.






Bunyamin Maftuh    is a Director of Career and Human Resources Competency in The Ministry of Research and Technology of Higher Education, a prestigious position with a wealth of experience. His leadership in this capacity demonstrates a depth of knowledge in negotiating the meeting point between career advancement and human resources in the context of higher education and research. He offers a distinct viewpoint to the fields of research and higher education because of his significant contribution to developing strategies and policies at the governmental level. He can be contacted via email bunyaminmaftuh@upi.edu.






Sapriya    is one of the most respected academics in the Department of Citizenship Education, Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia. Professor Sapriya is an expert in citizenship education, where he greatly enhances students' academic and professional growth. His varied educational history, which spans several countries and academic levels, indicates a rich and varied background that probably influences how he approaches citizenship education. He can be contacted via email sapriya@upi.edu.






Ernawulan Syaodih    is a postgraduate lecturer focusing on counselling guidance. She provides a plethora of expertise and experience in the counselling and guidance area, concentrating on postgraduate education. Her knowledge of psychological and counselling principles is extensive, allowing her to mentor and advise the upcoming generation of experts in this field. Her position as a postgraduate lecturer implies that she is dedicated to furthering the field via instruction, research, and real-world applications. Talking with her could provide insightful information about how counselling services are changing in the educational environment. She can be contacted via email ernawulansya@upi.edu.



Davi Sofyan    is a dedicated educator, currently serves as a lecturer in the Physical Education study program at Universitas Majalengka, West Java, Indonesia, with expertise spanning various educational fields, including physical education and sports, basketball games, sports management, sports and religion, bibliometrics, and scientometrics. His commitment to academic excellence extends beyond specialized subjects, encompassing a broader understanding of education in general. He can be contacted via email davisofyan@unma.ac.id.



Khairul Hafezad Abdullah    is a PhD graduate in Occupational Safety and Health Management, demonstrating expertise in the field. His notable contributions include authoring review papers on Bibliometrics and Scientometrics in the stated fields and other social sciences. An avid advocate for international research collaboration, Dr Khairul Hafezad embraces the opportunity to work with scholars from diverse backgrounds. His passion for exploring new academic avenues has earned him recognition and respect within the research community. Currently, Dr Khairul Hafezad serves as a Senior Lecturer at Universiti Utara Malaysia. He can be contacted at hafezad@uum.edu.my.